IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

FRIDAY, THE 25TH MAY 2007 / 4TH JYAISHTA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 693 of 2007(S)

---

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC.9293/2007 Dated 20/03/2007

.....

PETITIONER

---

K.C.RAVINDRAN, AGED 55,
S/O CHIDAMBARAM NAIR, HOUSE NO.XXX/174/A,
PANACKAL HOUSE, EROOR SOUTH P.O.,
TRIPPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.C.T.JOSEPH
SRI.JOE POLLAYIL
SRI.ROSHIN IPE JOSEPH

RESPONDENTS: 6TH RESPONDENT

---

M/S BHARATI AIRTEL LTD.,
REPRESENTED BY SUBBU IYER, AGE AND FATHER NAME
NOT KNOWN, HEAD, COMMERCIAL PROJECTS,
M/S BHARATI AIRTEL, N.H.BYEPASS,
KUNDANNUR JUNCTION, MARADU P.O., KOCHI-682304.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 25/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J**

\- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Contempt of Court Case No. 693 of 2007
in W.P.(C) No.9293/2007

\- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Dated this the 25th day of May, 2007

**J U D G M E N T**

In Annexure A1 order, the submission on behalf of the sixth respondent in the writ petition that, following Ext.P5, the activity prohibited thereby is not being carried out was recorded. Such an order may amount to an undertaking to this Court that during the term of Ext.P5, there shall be no activity which stands prohibited thereby. Be that as it may, Annexure A2 shows that the alleged non-adherence to Ext.P5 is gaining the attention of the administrative authorities including the District Collector and the Tahsildar and there is no reason why necessary and prompt action from that end would not follow. I am, therefore, not inclined to entertain the situation in hand as one warranting the exercise of jurisdiction of this Court under the Contempt of Courts Act. Hence, this matter is closed without prejudice.

**THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN**
**Judge**

ms